PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 11.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F16B13/08, F16B13/06 Applicant	To:					PCT		
See form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2004/000984 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F16B13/08, F16B13/06 Applicant		see form	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing			
PCT/GB2004/000984 11.03.2004 17.03.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F16B13/08, F16B13/06 Applicant		-						
PCT/GB2004/000984 11.03.2004 17.03.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F16B13/08, F16B13/06 Applicant	Inter	national application I	No.	International filing date (d	l day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
F16B13/08, F16B13/06 Applicant	PC	T/GB2004/00098	4			1		
	F16	F16B13/08, F16B13/06						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ⊠ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ⊠ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ⊠ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	2.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer	Nan	ne and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:	<u> </u>	Authorized Officer			

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Huusom, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8867



10/549431

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000984

ACZOREC'O PETAPTO 1 6 SEP 2000

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23 1(b))
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a type of material.
	☐ a sequence listing
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b format of material:
	☐ in written format
	□ in computer readable form
	c time of filing-furnishing:
	Contained in the international application as filed
	[1] filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished
4.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000984

_	Box No. II	Priority			
_					.
1.		lowing document ha	as not bee	n turnisne	J:
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	application	n whose pi	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the e	arlier appl	ication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consec neverth	quently it has not be neless been establis	een possib shed on th	le to consi e assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	has be		ıles 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim 1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
3.	Additional of	bservations, if nece	essary:		
			•		
_	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	or Rulo 43	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
					ns supporting such statement
1.	Statement		***		
	Novelty (N)		Voc:	Claims	2.7-10
	radveity (14)		No:	Claims	1,3-6
			110.	Olamo	1,0 0
	Inventive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	2,7-10
			No:	Claims	
	Industrial a	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-10
	•		No:	Claims	

see separate sheet

JEZO ROSEPENTO 1 6 SEP 2005.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000984

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 3 523 482 A (PLOCH HENRY W ET AL) 11 August 1970 (1970-08-11)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (see e.g. Fig. 2 and 3) the references in parenthesis applying to this document):
A fastening device (anchor) for retention in a hole comprising an elongate shank with a head (46) and a threaded portion in screw threaded engagement with a

cylindrical retainer member (2), in a part of whose outer surface there is an annular recess (20), the depth of which increases in the direction towards the head, the recess accomodating an expansible member (30) whose internal surface engages the surface of the recess and which extends out of the recess.

2.2 The same could be argued based on the disclosure of

D2: US-A-4 246 688 (RISDON GRANT S) (1981-01-27)

(see e.g. Fig. 2) or

D3: US 2003/017024 A1 (WIESER JURGEN ET AL) 2003 (2003-01-23)

(see Fig. 3) or

D4: DE 100 15 202 A (HILTI AG) (2001-10-04)

(see e.g. Fig. 3)

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3-6

Dependent claims 3-6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 7-10

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/000984

The combination of the features of dependent claims 2, 7-10 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: None of the documents cited in the search report disclose a spring arrangements as defined in claim 2.